

SWIRP: Compact Submm-Wave and LWIR Polarimeters for Cirrus Ice Properties

- PI: Dong L. Wu (GSFC/613)
- RA: Marion August /613

Giovanni De Amaci/555

PM/Sys Eng. Manuel Vega/555

ddaw

- I&T Lead: Negar Ehsan /555
-
-
-
-
- Mechanical: Michael Solly / 562 Aaron Dabrowski/567 • Antenna: Cornelis Du Toit /567 Victor Marrero/567 • Electrical: Michael Coon/555 Jonathan Lee/NGC • Thermal: Sergio Guerrero/545
	- Michael Choi/545
	- IR I&T: Aaron Pearlman/GeoTT

William Deal (NGAS Co-I) Caitlyn Cooke (NGAS)

- 220 GHz polarimeter (V,H)
- 680 GHz polarimeter (V,H) William Gaines (NGC Co-I)
	- **BAPTA**

College of Optical Sciences

NORTHROP GRUMMAN

Russell Chipman (Co-I) Kira Hart, Meredith Kupinski, Chang Jin Oh, Todd Horne, Kyler Langworthy

• LWIR polarimeter (V,H)

TEXAS A&M ĀĪм

Ping Yang (TAMU Co-I): Ice microphysics simulation

Motivation: Ice Cloud Problem in Climate/Weather Models

SWIRP

220 GHz

Challenges to measure ice clouds:

- Large dynamic range
- Complex microphysics

680 GHz 8-12 µ**m** 14.3 8.3 6.3 5 4.2 µm 300 ear Clear iwp10 g/m2 Brightness Temperature (K) iwp200 g/m2 290 **H2O** iwp600 g/m2 **H2O** 250 280 O₂ $H2O$ **H2O** $H2O$ O₂ $H2O$ 270 260 **H2O** $T_{\rm b}$ (K) 200 250 O₂ O₂ 240 CI 230 150 $220 -$ Clouds $210 -$ IASI IR mm and submm-Wave 100 200 2600 cm⁻¹ 2200 2400 800 1200 1600 2000 $\mathbf 0$ 500 1000 1500 2000 21 36 45 60 72 THz Frequency (GHz)

Sensitivity Gap in Cloud Ice Observations

- Clouds, ice clouds in particular, as a major source of uncertainty in climate prediction.
- Some cloud ice is not observed by microwave (MW) and infrared (IR) sensors, and need submm cloud radiometers.
- Cloud microphysical properties (particle size and shape) account for ~200% and ~40% of measurement uncertainty, respectively.
- Combined submm and LWIR polarimeters to provide the sensitivities needed for cloud ice and microphysical property (particle size and shape) measurements over a large dynamic range.

 $T_{cir} = T_b - T_b$ _{clear}

Ice Water Path (IWP) from CloudSat/CALIOP

Polarimetric Difference In GMI 89 and 166 GHz Observations

- "Bell-Shape" in the TB vs V-H relationship from cloud ice
- Larger V-H in the leading edge of squall line storms
- Similar magnitudes (~10 K) of V-H at 89 and 166 GHz
- V-H differences account for 10- 30% cloud scattering signals at TB=200-270K
- Stronger ocean surface polarization contributions at 89 GHz, compared to 166 GHz

9.8

 8.1

 6.4

4.7

3.0

 1.4

 -0.3

 -3.7

Gong and Wu (2017, ACP)

Polarization Signals at Submm-Wave

- Limited airborne observations from GSFC Compact Scanning Submillimeter Imaging Radiometer (CoSSIR) 640 GHz dual-pol radiometer
- Slightly different aspect ratios between 1.2 (89 GHz), 1.3 (166 GHz), and 1.4 (640 GHz).
- LWIR cloud scattering likely polarized, but no LWIR polarimetric measurements from space or aircraft.

$T_J = T_{scat}\omega_0 + T_2(1-\omega_0)$ $PD = T_V - T_H = (T_1 - T_I)(e^{-\tau 2H} - e^{-\tau 2V})$ Conceptual Model

 ΔT_b (K)

Cloud-Induced Brightness Temperature (ΔT_b) vs D_{eff}

Coy, Bell, Yang and Wu (2019, submitted to JGR)

Polarization Difference (PD) vs D_{eff}

Coy, Bell, Yang and Wu (2019, submitted to JGR)

- Flight altitude 700km; Swath 1000 km
- Conical scan rate: 17.6 rpm
- Integration time: 21.2 ms (220 GHz), 10.6 ms (680 GHz), 2.7 ms (11 μ m)
- Submm primary reflector 3dB diameter : 9 cm
- Footprints/FOVs: 220 GHz (20 km /1.6°), : 680 GHz (10 km /0.8°), 11 μ m (2.5 km/0.2°)
- Submm polarimetric receivers:
	- 680 GHz (V, H), 2x: direct detection (baseline), or heterodyne detection (backup)
	- 220 GHz (V, H), 1x direct detection
- LWIR polarimeter:
	- 3-band (8.6, 11, 12µm) channeled spectropolarimeter (baseline)
- Data rate: 22.3 kbps

SWIRP Parameters and Requirements

Courtesy of Michael Solly

Miniature Bearing and Power Transfer Assembly (BAPTA)

SWIRP 220 GHz Polarimetric Receiver (TRL=5)

- Final integration and burn-in completed Mar 2019
- Configured to output ~2V
- Final noise figure measured
- Two units built and characterized
- Delivered in April 2019

Receiver Output Voltage

Polarimeter gain and NF data (Rx #2)

SWIRP 680 GHz Polarimetric Receivers

- High complexity for E- and H-Polarization intensity
- Narrow bandwidth with Polystrata filter
	- 3-Stage LNA with six housings
	- Packaging and I&T underway

SWIRP Demo Plan:

- High-altitude ER2 flight
- Mount inside wing pod
- Heaters near subsystems
- Power and data interfaces to ER2

CONOPS:

809 ASA

- Operation: -20C to 0C
- Heater-on during taking-off/landing
- Continuous conical scan
- Cloud obs from backward view
- Calibration from forward view

Summary

• Cloud ice has a large dynamic range.

• SWIRP 220 GHz, 680 GHz and 12 µm to cover a broad dynamic range

- Microphysics of ice particles are complex and dynamic.
- 2017 Decadal Survey: 'Coupled cloudprecipitation (CCP) state and dynamics'
- SWIRP conical-scan and polarimetric measurements to provide additional ice microphysical properties
- SWIRP cloud products (220, 680 GHz, 8-12 µm); precip product (220 GHz); and info on microphysical processes