



SWIRP: Compact <u>Submm-Wave and LWIR</u> <u>Polarimeters for Cirrus Ice Properties</u>

- PI: Dong L. Wu (GSFC/613)
- RA: Marion August /613
- PM/Sys Eng.

1 dar

- I&T Lead:
- Mechanical:
- Antenna:
- Electrical:
- Thermal:

TEXAS A&M

• IR I&T:

ЯM

Manuel Vega/555 Giovanni De Amaci/555 Negar Ehsan /555 Michael Solly /562 Aaron Dabrowski/567 Cornelis Du Toit /567 Victor Marrero/567

- Michael Coon/555 Jonathan Lee/NGC
- Sergio Guerrero/545 Michael Choi/545
- Aaron Pearlman/GeoTT

William Deal (NGAS Co-I) Caitlyn Cooke (NGAS)

- 220 GHz polarimeter (V,H)
- 680 GHz polarimeter (V,H) William Gaines (NGC Co-I)
 - BAPTA

College of Optical Sciences

NORTHROP GRUMMAN

Russell Chipman (Co-I) Kira Hart, Meredith Kupinski, Chang Jin Oh, Todd Horne, Kyler Langworthy

• LWIR polarimeter (V,H)

Ping Yang (TAMU Co-I): Ice microphysics simulation





Motivation:

Ice Cloud Problem in Climate/Weather Models





Brightness Temperature (K)

0

500

Challenges to measure ice clouds:

- Large dynamic range
- **Complex microphysics**



2000

21

36

45

1500

1000

Frequency (GHz)

Goddard SPACE FLIGHT CENTER

2600 cm⁻¹

2400

72 THz

2200

2000

60





Sensitivity Gap in Cloud Ice Observations

- Clouds, ice clouds in particular, as a major source of uncertainty in climate prediction.
- Some cloud ice is not observed by microwave (MW) and infrared (IR) sensors, and need submm cloud radiometers.
- Cloud microphysical properties (particle size and shape) account for ~200% and ~40% of measurement uncertainty, respectively.
- Combined submm and LWIR polarimeters to provide the sensitivities needed for cloud ice and microphysical property (particle size and shape) measurements over a large dynamic range.

 $T_{cir} = T_b - T_{b_clear}$



Ice Water Path (IWP) from CloudSat/CALIOP



Polarimetric Difference In GMI 89 and 166 GHz **Observations**

- "Bell-Shape" in the TB vs V-H relationship from cloud ice
- Larger V-H in the leading edge of squall line storms
- Similar magnitudes (~10 K) of V-H • at 89 and 166 GHz
- V-H differences account for 10-30% cloud scattering signals at TB=200-270K
- Stronger ocean surface polarization contributions at 89 GHz, compared to 166 GHz



265.2

226.6

207.3

188.0

168.7

149.4

130.1

110.7

91.4

13.1

11.4

9.8

8.1

6.4

4.7

3.0

1.4

-0.3

-2.0

-3.7

234.6 217.8

201.0

184.2

167.4

150.5

33.7

116.9

Gong and Wu (2017, ACP)



Polarization Signals at Submm-Wave

- Limited airborne observations from GSFC Compact Scanning Submillimeter Imaging Radiometer (CoSSIR) 640 GHz dual-pol radiometer
- Slightly different aspect ratios between 1.2 (89 GHz), 1.3 (166 GHz), and 1.4 (640 GHz).
- LWIR cloud scattering likely polarized, but no LWIR polarimetric measurements from space or aircraft.











Conceptual Model $T_J = T_{scat}\omega_0 + T_2(1-\omega_0)$ $PD = T_V - T_H = (T_1 - T_J)(e^{-\tau 2H} - e^{-\tau 2V})$







Cloud-Induced Brightness Temperature (ΔT_b) vs D_{eff}

220 GHz







Coy, Bell, Yang and Wu (2019, submitted to JGR)





Polarization Difference (PD) vs D_{eff}



Coy, Bell, Yang and Wu (2019, submitted to JGR)



- Flight altitude 700km; Swath 1000 km
- Conical scan rate: 17.6 rpm
- Integration time: 21.2 ms (220 GHz), 10.6 ms (680 GHz), 2.7 ms (11 $\mu\text{m})$
- Submm primary reflector 3dB diameter : 9 cm
- + Footprints/FOVs: 220 GHz (20 km /1.6 $^\circ$), : 680 GHz (10 km /0.8 $^\circ$), 11 μm (2.5 km/0.2 $^\circ$)
- Submm polarimetric receivers:
 - 680 GHz (V, H), 2x: direct detection (baseline), or heterodyne detection (backup)
 - 220 GHz (V, H), 1x direct detection
- LWIR polarimeter:
 - 3-band (8.6, 11, 12 μ m) channeled spectropolarimeter (baseline)
- Data rate: 22.3 kbps



SWIRP Parameters and Requirements





Goddard SPACE FLIGHT CENTER



Courtesy of Michael Solly









	Reflection	Transmission
$AOLP = 0^{\circ}$	のないないないである	
$AOLP = 10^{\circ}$	語語での語識である。	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
$AOLP = 20^{\circ}$		
$AOLP = 30^{\circ}$		
$AOLP = 40^{\circ}$		
$AOLP = 50^{\circ}$		
$AOLP = 60^{\circ}$		
$AOLP = 70^{\circ}$		
$AOLP = 80^{\circ}$		
$AOLP = 90^{\circ}$		





 Stationary Components

Lower Slipring

Motor Windings

Motor Support

Stator

•

(Motor PWB)

Encoder Read Head

Instrument Base

Miniature Bearing and Power Transfer Assembly (BAPTA)







SWIRP 220 GHz Polarimetric Receiver (TRL=5)

- Final integration and burn-in completed Mar 2019
- Configured to output ~2V
- Final noise figure measured
- Two units built and characterized
- Delivered in April 2019

Receiver Output Voltage

	Receiver SN01 Output Voltage (V)	Receiver SN02 Output Voltage (V)
E-plane Channel	2.08	2.00
H-plane Channel	1.70	1.78



Polarimeter gain and NF data (Rx #2)







SWIRP 680 GHz Polarimetric Receivers





- High complexity for E- and H Polarization intensity
- Narrow bandwidth with
 Polystrata filter
 - 3-Stage LNA with six housings
- Packaging and I&T underway





SWIRP Demo Plan:

- High-altitude ER2 flight
- Mount inside wing pod
- Heaters near subsystems
- Power and data interfaces to ER2



~36.5 cm

CONOPS:

809

ASA

- Operation: -20C to 0C
- Heater-on during taking-off/landing
- Continuous conical scan
- Cloud obs from backward view
- Calibration from forward view





Summary

 Cloud ice has a large dynamic range.



 SWIRP 220 GHz, 680 GHz and 12 μm to cover a broad dynamic range

- Microphysics of ice particles are complex and dynamic.
- 2017 Decadal Survey: 'Coupled cloudprecipitation (CCP) state and dynamics'

- SWIRP conical-scan and polarimetric measurements to provide additional ice microphysical properties
- SWIRP cloud products (220, 680 GHz, 8-12 μm); precip product (220 GHz); and info on microphysical processes