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Initial Goals

• Improve the data throughput and utilization of current UAV remote sensing by developing and deploying technologies that enable efficient use of the available communications links. Such technologies may include:
  – Some form of Delay/Disruption Tolerant networking
  – Improvements to the Saratoga and/or other reliable transport protocols such as implementing rate-based and congestion control features.
  – Development of a protocol that advertises link properties from modem to router or host (not addressed in the paper)

• Develop and deploy a mobile communication architecture based on Internet Technologies that will be utilized on the Global Hawk Unmanned Arial Vehicle (UAV) for atmospheric research.
Work Items

• GRC
  – Mobile communication architecture,
  – Rate-based transport protocol
  – Store-and-forward protocol(s)
  – Layer-2 triggers. (Not addressed in this presentation)

• Ames
  – Development and testing of software for the command and control of the sensor packages onboard the Global Hawk
  – Integration of GRC developed communication software with command and control Software
Global Hawk Operational Capability
Four Mission Regions, with Arcs of Constant On-Station Times
GloPac Mission
(March – April 2010)

• Conducted in support of the Aura Validation Experiment (AVE).
  – Aura is one of the A-train satellites supported by NASA Earth Observation System.
• Encompassed the entire offshore Pacific region with four to five 30 hour flights.
• Flew over the Pacific ocean, from the North Pole to the equator for its first Atmospheric Chemistry experiment.
• The flights were designed to address various science objectives:
  – Validation and scientific collaboration with NASA earth-monitoring satellite missions, principally the Aura satellite,
  – Observations of stratospheric trace gases in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere from the mid-latitudes into the tropics,
  – Sampling of polar stratospheric air and the break-up fragments of the air that move into the mid-latitudes,
  – Measurements of dust, smoke, and pollution that cross the Pacific from Asia and Siberia,
  – Measurements of streamers of moist air from the central tropical Pacific that move onto the West Coast of the United States (atmospheric rivers).
GLOPAC Missions
(Ames/Dryden)

• Mission integration and operations March – April 2010 (Four Flights)
• Test Flight #1: April 2, 2010
  – Test in-flight operation of payload instruments
  – Refine Global Hawk Operations Center (GHOC) / Payload Operations Room (POR) payload C3 procedures
  – Demonstrate that information can be transmitted from the aircraft and displayed in GHOC POR
• Science Test Flight #1, 2010-04-07
  – Demonstrate long range capability of the Global Hawk
  – Measure polar vortex fragment
  – Under fly Calipso and Aura satellites.
  – Continue development of GHOC/POR procedures
  – Improve instrument displays and situational awareness in GHOC POR
• Science Flight #2: April 13, 2010
  – Under fly Aura satellite.
  – Measure 2nd polar vortex fragment (1st measured on 7 April)
  – Sample Asian dust plume.
  – Sample region of stratospheric tracer mixing over a region to the south of California
  – Extended sampling of tropical tracers in cold temperatures
  – Demonstrate 24-hour endurance of the Global Hawk
  – Demonstrate vertical profile maneuver
• Science Flight: Tuesday, April 22, 2010
  – Demonstrate an Arctic flight.
  – Demonstrate vertical profile maneuver
  – Possible overflight of volcanic plume
  – Extended sampling of tracers to high northern latitudes.
  – Demonstrate at least a 26-hour endurance of the Global Hawk
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Communication System Lessons Learned
(Ames)

- Iridium (payload link) was unreliable relative to Ku-Band link
  - But Iridium does provide Global Coverage

- INMARSAT system and UHF system used for redundant backup for command and control mainly for takeoff and landing
  - Low rate ~ 16 kbps
  - INMARSAT unreliable at high latitudes (GEO Satellite)

- Ku-Band worked extremely well
  - Data rate was 2 Mbps bidirectional
  - Link was reliable to 75 degrees north latitude (3 degree view angle!)
  - Moved / duplicated some Iridium payload operations to Ku-Band operations

- Modified software that controls the Satellite Modem Assembly to enable programming of the Ku-Band system via Iridium
  - Ku-Band system can be reconfigured on the fly to change satellites, polarization, data rates, etc....

- Used standard TCP and UPD protocols (no rate-based for these flights)

  Principle Investigators were ecstatic to get real-time control of their payloads!
• Better understand how tropical storms form and develop into major hurricanes.
• Deployment of new remote sensing instruments for wind and temperature that can lead to improved characterization of storm structure and environment.
• NASA plans to use the DC-8 aircraft and the Global Hawk Unmanned Airborne System (UAS)
• The spaceborne, suborbital, and airborne observational capabilities of NASA put it in a unique position to assist the hurricane research community in addressing shortcomings in the current state of the science.

Anticipated Start August 2010
Command and Control Communications

- Aircraft Command and Control (C2) communications.
  - LOS -- 2 UHF/LOS links.
  - BLOS -- 2 Iridium links and 1 INMARSAT link.
    - INMARSAT is a GEO satellite and does not cover the poles

- Payload C2 and Status communications.
  - Multiple multiplexed Iridium links.
    - Multiplexing low-rate links is a non-trivial problem
    - Current implementation is functional, but some technical issues are still being worked
  - Investigate for potential to use this link for Metadata and Prioritized Queuing of payload data.
GloPac Payload Communication Network
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Future Communication Network

Network Mobility and possible multi-hop therefore: Need for Mobile Networking and possible DTN to accommodate rate-mismatch problems.
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New Requirement
(Remote Access and Control over long delay)

Problem:
- 600 – 800 Msec RTT (550 msec due to GEO satellite)
- Desire to use standard Internet technologies (but not necessarily a requirement)
  - SSH (uses TCP)
  - HTTP (Uses TCP)
- Possible desire to tunnel over SSH
New Requirement
(Remote Access and Control over long delay)

• Key Questions:
  - What does the PI want to do?
  - What does the PI need to do?
  - How does the PI want to operate?
  - How is the PI willing to operate?
  - What is the anticipated user experience?
  - What is the acceptable user experience?
Mobile Communications Architecture

• Requirements
  – Provides connectivity via the Internet
  • Current infrastructure under NASA control and single hop
    (no Network Mobility. We only need efficient transport protocols)
    – Initial Deployment for GLOPAC
    – Also current architecture for GRIP
  • Future infrastructure may be owned and operated by third parties and multi-hop. (True Network Mobility)
    – Possible architecture for future missions
  – Addresses security needs

• Possible solutions
  – Store and Forward over Mobile-IP
    • Advantage is Mobile-IP registrations provide a trigger to the transport protocol that connectivity has been established
  – Direct Store and Forward
    • Issue – how to determine connectivity is established?
      – Saratoga transport protocol provides such functionality
Rate-Based Transport Protocol
SSTL Disaster Monitoring Constellation
Imaging Sensor Satellites
Reliable Rate-Based Protocols

• Saratoga version 1
  - Saratoga version 0 implemented by Surrey Satellite Technology Limited for simple file transfer over highly asymmetric links
    • Used to transmit images for satellite to ground
    • Proven and operational
    • Full utilization of the RF channel
  - Saratoga version 1 is an Internet Draft that includes improvements such as unidirectional transfer and use of UDPlite

• Negative Acknowledgement (NACK) - Oriented Reliable Multicast (NORM) Transport Protocol
  - Uses a selective, negative acknowledgment mechanism for transport reliability
  - Leverages the use of forward error correction (FEC) repair and other IETF Reliable Multicast Transport (RMT) building blocks
  - Can operate in unicast mode
  - Used on Naval Research Lab’s MidStar-1 Satellite for unidirectional link file transfer

• CCSDS File Delivery Protocol (CFDP) – Class 2
  - Class 2 provides for the reliable delivery of bounded or unbounded data files from the source to the destination.

• CFDP – Class 1 over DTN over LTP over IP
  - CFDP provides the file transfer application while LTP provides the reliability
Store and Forward Protocols
Why Store and Forward

- Global Hawk has large periods of disconnection from the network and needs to store data during disconnection and transmit data during times of connectivity.
- Store and forward can break control loops:
  - Allows for link by link transport protocol optimization.
Store and Forward Protocols
Delay/Disconnection/Disruption Tolerant Networking (DTN)

• Bundling Protocol (RFC5050) – really just a container specification
  - DTN2 (code exists)
    • Considered the Reference Implementation
    • Includes numerous routing protocols, convergence layers and security
  - Interplanetary Overlay Network (ION) (code exists)
    • Developed by JPL
    • Targeted for deep space
  - Spindle III (code exists)
    • Developed by BBN
    • Targeted for DARPA Wireless after Next program (military ad hoc networks)
    • Network synchronization not required (deviates from RFC5050)
• HTTP DTN (just an idea to date, no code currently exists)
  - Uses HTTP protocol as basis for store and forward
    • Simple and takes advantage of existing infrastructure
**DTN Bundling Fixes**

- Add ability to process bundle using relative time
  - DTN currently requires network synchronization to some fraction of the smallest lifetime bundle processed for the protocol to work. This can be non-trivial.
  - Numerous problems with synchronization have been identified during field trials

- Add simple CRC check capability in an extension block or the header
  - Current No checksum is included in the basic DTN Bundle Protocol
    - It is not possible to verify that bundles have been either forwarded or passed through convergence layers without error.
    - Current solution is to use reliability-only Checksum Ciphersuites
      - Requires the Bundle Security Specification be implemented
    - Previously proposed solution is to have reliability implemented as its own extension block
      - Separates reliability from security
      - Does not require node with limited processing power to implement security
RFC5050 Needs a Redo

- Delay Tolerant Networking Research Group (DTNRG) at the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) 77th Meeting in Anaheim, CA
  - Discussion on RFC5050-bis (bis is latin for repeat or twice – second version)
    - Not enough energy
    - To early
    - Is BIS an IETF responsibility
    - IETF would probably not move RFC5050 to any standard
      - Mixes application and protocol
      - Lots of other stuff (checksums, synch, etc...)
  - Current implementation is nice for research due to extension blocks and flexibility, but poorly engineered
  - Current implementation does not scale
  - Overly complex
    - Tries do to more than store and forward
      - i.e. secure content distribution and storage
      - An attempt at content-based routing
Technical Issues

• Mobile-IP
  – Custom Global Hawk payload design requires “buy in” from communication system design team to implement mobile-IP or at least dynamic addressing on Space/Ground link.

• DTN
  – Cannot assume control of Service Provider clocks
    • Requires modification to DTN to solve time-sync problem
    • Issue is being worked in Internet Research Task Force (IRTF)
      – This is a recent resolution decided in March 2010
  – Current DTN has no CRC check requirement
    • Current solution is to use Bundle Security Protocols Bundle Confidentiality Block with known shared keys.
    – Expired proposal to use “Reliability” Extension Block to ensure point-to-point reliability.
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Information Request / Recommendations

- Current NASA Global Hawk Architecture does not require network mobility or DTN
  - **Information Request:** Do other users of the Global Hawk have network mobility or DTN requirements (NASA, DOD or others)
    - If yes and if we can obtain buy-in from the Communication System supplier, work with appropriate entities to implement changes
    - Otherwise, implement network mobility and DTN in a testbed, but not on the Global Hawk

- ESTO has many instances where point-to-point “reliable” high rate file transfer is required
  - **Recommendation:** Investigate performance, ability to handle highly asymmetric links and ease of implementation of reliable transport protocols (this is part of the “convergence layer” in the DTN world).
    - Protocols: Saratoga, NORM, CFDP-class 2 and CFDP-class 1 over DTN over LTP over IP
    - Parameters include: Asymmetry, speed, ease of use, delay, BER, disruption
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